ALI SINA'S DEBATE WITH MUHAMMAD AL ASSADI

A Debate On

Science in the Quran: Creation of Universe Muslims Don't Believe in Jesus Did Muhammad speak of the Big Bang?

16 December 2005

www.faithfreedom.org

Mr. Sina Ali

My name is Mohammad Al Assadi. I am a Muslim. I heard about your challenge, how you are Bashing Islam with evil talk, and challenging if anyone can defeat your ill logic, you will quit. I accept the challenge. Now in order for us to proceed, I need to make a few things clear if you do not mind.

(1) you are attacking with complete evil all what I believe in, then that should give me rights to ask you: what belief do you follow, I know it is not Islam, I wish and I pray you are not from those Knuckle Heads/brainless Atheists who do not believe in the existence of the LORD, while 99% of the Population of this planet tell them how there is one no deny. As I said, I am a Muslim, and fair is fair, you do need to introduce what you believe if you do not mind.

Mohammad Al Assadi

Dear Mr. Al Assadi,

I am here to prove that Islam is false. My belief is irrelevant to our discussion. What if I tell you I believe in *Bugs Bunny* and you find it ridiculous? Does this prove Muhammad was a messenger of God?

You want to debate with me and presumably you are a scholar, but the first thing you want to do is to engage in the *tu quoque* logical fallacy and instead of proving Muhammad's claim or refuting my charges against him you want to attack my belief. As I told you, I worship *Bugs Bunny*. No kidding. I don't watch TV except half an hour for the news and the *Bugs Bunny*. This is what I do in lieu of salat. Please spare us proving that *Bugs Bunny* does not exist. How can he not exist when you can see his holy picture with your own eyes? Furthermore, the biggest proof is his own word, just as you think the biggest proof of Muhammad's claim is his own claim, I also believe because *Bugs Bunny* has made this

claim to be the God, that claim is the biggest proof. I don't care if you believe or not. To you, your religion, to me mine. If you disbelieve, you will burn in hellfire and will be squeezed in your grave until your bones crush. Now, let us get to the business and talk about Muhammad. My challenge is: Either prove logically that Muhammad was a messenger of God or disprove my charges against him. The fact that 99% of the population of the planet believe in Lord is no proof to me. There was a time when 100% of the population of the world believed that the Sun revolves around the Earth. Despite this unanimous consensus, their belief was false. This is another logical fallacy called argumentum and numerum. This fallacy says that something must be true because many people believe in it. I never believe in what others believe without proof. I have seen the miracles of Bugs Bunny and that is enough for me to become a believer. However, since the faith in Bugs Bunny (pbuh) is not proselytizing, I don't care to prove it to you. Only those who have pure hearts will believe in him. 100% of the children believe in him and children have pure hearts. This is even a bigger percentage than your lousy 99%. What more proof you need? Let us compare your proofs with mine.

Proofs for Allah	Proofs for Bugs Bunny
One billion people believe in Allah	All the children of the world believe in <i>Bugs Bunny</i> . They are more than one billion.
The believers in Allah don't have pure hearts. Many of them are terrorists.	The believers in <i>Bugs Bunny</i> have pure hearts.
The believers in Allah give more importance to faith than to reason.	ditto
The religion of Allah makes people angry, terrorists, causes death and makes many mourn.	The religion of <i>Bugs Bunny</i> makes people laugh and makes everyone happy.

Allah testified to his own truth in his revealed book sent to his messenger.	I have received a book of revelation from <i>Bugs Bunny</i> who testified to his own truth also and he said there is no doubt in it.
Nobody has seen Allah	Everybody has seen Bugs Bunny
Allah plots and plays tricks 3:54	ditto
If you don't believe in Allah, he will send you to his hell where you will be burned. Allah is very cruel.	If you don't believe in <i>Bugs Bunny</i> , he will send you to another room where you can't watch his shows, no ice-cream and no popcorns. <i>Bugs Bunny</i> is not as cruel as Allah. Just a little.

This chart shows that there are more proofs for *Bugs Bunny* than for Allah.

Furthermore, I know *Bugs Bunny* exists and I pity all those who don't believe in him. Your hearts are sealed. This is one more proof. It makes no difference whether I tell you or not, you won't believe.

Your faith is proselytizing. Not only that! It also wants to impose itself on others by force. Using force is called *argumentum* as baculum and that is another logical fallacy. You must come up with a logical proof - something I can't refute or use in favor of *Bugs Bunny*.

Spare us also your proofs about the existence of God. Since 99% of the population already believes in him, just concentrate on Muhammad and prove that he was a messenger of that God. 80% of the world population doesn't believe in Muhammad.

._____

(2) Let me make something so clear from the very start, you do entertain me a lot, that is why I wish to relieve you from sweating a lot going to the books of Hadeeth, or any other story book, I do

not value any of such, so please save yourself the trouble, do not tell me how much of an expert you became in the nonsense of those books, and let us be serious, I know you have a lot against the Quran, let us correct your Handicap and how you are unable to understand that. You went to great limits finding what you think is wrong with the Qur'an, I wonder where the wrong is, in that or in your mind?

I am glad to be the source of entertainment for you. This is what my religion teaches me.

It is okay if you don't believe in Hadith or call the history of Muhammad's life "stories". Show us that the Quran is the word of God. However, you must also prove that Muhammad existed without relying on hadith or Sira. If you make any claim about Muhammad that is not in the Quran, I will object. If you reject the hadith and the Sira, you must tell us where did you learn about Muhammad and first prove that such person existed. You can't hold me to something that you yourself don't intend to be held to.

I know how your lack of knowledge has a lot to do with what you suffer from. Let me help you to understand for a change, but I do not promise to change your heart. You know why? Jews came to Muhammad PBUH asking questions, promising to believe in his message if he does, when he answered they showed how they lied. I do not believe you will change your mind, by shutting your site, but it is enough for me to defeat your ill knowledge and twisted brain about the Noble Qur'an.

How do you know Muhammad's answers to the Jews were correct? Maybe the Jews asked questions that Muhammad could not answer and that is why they left. This sounds more logical. It is very unlikely that people receive convincing answers from someone claiming to be a messenger of God and despite that do not believe. There is much to lose and this makes no sense at all. Today Muslims give answers that are ridiculous and unconvincing, yet they beat their chests and claim victory. Maybe they learned this from their prophet.

If your responses are logical and convincing, all our readers will see you are right and will stop visiting my site. That will be your victory. Whether I admit defeat or not is not important anymore. I will be discredited for good.

(3) Now let me tell you why I will not debate with you the books of Hadeeth or any other nonsense in Muslims' or non Muslims' hands apart from the Noble Qur'an: Allah says in many places, including Ayah 2:2: This is the book no doubt about it, since Allah showed how only the Noble Qur'an has not doubts, since Allah said how this is the Book, then all others are not worthwhile, and do not deserve to be defended, they are man-made, not upon the orders of Allah, or the orders of His prophet PBUH. A bunch of men decided to write, fine, I understand that, but do not wish to consider in any discussion since Allah made it clear how they are full with doubts, and not THE BOOK that I need to look at.

Well, one of the reasons why the Quran is false is this very verse 2:2. The claim that there is no doubt in the Quran is a patent lie. Today four out of five people in the world doubt the Quran, otherwise they would have become Muslims. Here is the first proof that the Quran is lying. The next thing this verse says is: "That book" guides the righteous ones, (those who guard against evil).

The righteous ones are already guided. They do not need guidance. Those who are lost need guidance, but the Quran can't

help them. So the Quran is redundant. It is a useless book by its own admission.

Also there is another elemental logical error in this verse. It says *dalikal kitab* "THAT book". Which book? It can't be the Quran. If it was referring to the Quran, it should have said: *hadal kitab* "this book". Are we to presume that Allah does not know the difference between *this* and *that*?

There you have it: Three errors in one short sentence right from the start.

Apart from all this, you are engaging in circular reasoning. The fact that the Quran says it is the word of God is no proof to us. If the claim of *Bugs Bunny* to his own truth is no proof for you, the claim of Muhammad to his own prophethood is also no proof to us. I say Muhammad was a liar and his book is a book of lies. What he says in his book can't be proof for those of us who do not believe in that book. You confuse claims with proofs. Circular reasoning is also a logical fallacy. If nothing, at the end of this debate we will learn a lot about logical fallacies from a master.

(4) I accept your challenge, I challenge you with the Noble Qur'an, I will not [now] ask you to discuss one topic at the time, because you, like those like you have a serious problem reading and understanding, that is why I will tell you even if you dump on me a lot or miles of paper in debate, I will discuss one by one ignoring your lack of sincerity about discussing one by one. Now, if you did chose to be reasonable, which I seriously doubt, then we will discuss one by one, and things should be so good till the end Insha Allah, and till I show you how you need help so bad.

Okay! One by one! It's a deal.

(5) Now, I am not going to ask you to stop the idiocy in insulting Muhammad PBUH, no, I want to ask you please do Insult, I have a group of friends, who love to read this and make of you a laughing stock, so please do not try to be civilized I know you cannot afford such, insult Muhammad with all of your heart, my friends are jokers and silly comedians, like those who insult Muhammad, and they always wait to make your kind the topic of their laughter for the night. They will feel sad if you do not, or for a second you became reasonably intelligent, and stopped your nonsense in insulting a man who died 1425 years back.

I don't have to insult Muhammad. Just telling what he did and said is worse than insult. For example, he raided, raped and looted. He was a marauder, a rapist and a thief. Why do I need to insult him when just stating the facts is worse than insults?

I hope now that I made few things clear, I hope you will not chicken out now, do not be afraid, I am a very nice man, but as your case is, I am rude unlimited like your kind that is all, so do not feel bad, you found someone who is like your kind. As you with your utter rudeness insult Islam and two billion Muslims and what they believe in, I too have done the same, and as rude as you are.

Thank you for comforting me, I was becoming very afraid. But please keep your rudeness to yourself. I have no time to debate with rude people. Your friend who asked me to debate with you said you are a scholar. If you are a scholar, you have no need to rely on rudeness. If you want to be rude, maybe you should find someone else of your own class.

I will expect you to run, but if you showed courage and come, let us start, I will wait to see what is the first thing you see wrong with the Quran. I understand how you discussed this same with others, but please do not mind we restart. Please remember, the friend relaying this to you has no part, and those are not his views about the same, he is just giving me a helping hand to bypass a block.

No, I am not running yet, although I begin to see there is no point in engaging with you. This friend of yours said you are a scholar. I saw no evidence of that so far. The attitude is not scholarly and then the offer to engage in rudeness reminds me of Muhammad's challenge to his opponents to engage in a round of cursing to see who is telling the truth. 3:61 Maybe that constitutes poof for you, but not for me. Trying to overpower your opponent by rudeness and personal attacks is called *ad hominem* and that is also a logical fallacy. If rudeness could be used as a substitute to proof, the most convincing people would be the jail inmates.

Also please introduce yourself, so we know you are somebody notable. Mohammad Al Assadi does not tell me anything. There are hundreds of Mohammad Assadis. Incidentally, we debated with one of them three years ago and he behaved like a scholar to the end. So if you want to debate, tell us who you are. I don't debate with just anybody, especially people who want to come to the ring armed with rudeness. Not that I am snob, but I have to prioritize my time. There are a billion Muslims out there and it is technically impossible to debate with all of them. But you are welcome to join our forum and debate with friends there. They are just as knowledgeable as I am, if not more, and some of them may have time to debate with you. However, make sure you hang your rudeness outside. Our debates are characterized by bluntness, but we have no tolerance for rudeness. If rudeness is your forte, take your challenge elsewhere.

Part II

Science in the Quran: Creation of Universe

[This is Mohammed Assadi's response.]

Dear Ali Sina,

Ali Sina, your belief is so funny indeed, *Bugs Bunny* is your God, what else I should expect, and by the way *Bugs Bunny* makes me laugh I cannot deny, the child in me is so pleased. So looks like your challenge will be about Carrots most of the time, and your debate should show that of a vegetable I am impressed. Fine, no problem, I had those before. I did write many books, preparing to launch soon, one has the title of: Jewish Christian Testimony Islam is the religion from the LORD, I will not debate here, I will tell you when it is out, and you can read. Those who hate Islam most from Jews and Christians have a wonderful Testimony on behalf of Islam, and how Muslims are the only true followers of Jesus and the books, but how little those know about things? IN my book I do ask: where they bring their beliefs from and why they are not Muslims if the God they believe in says: Islam is the truth? Of course, I forgot to include your Buggs Bunny in.

Muslims are not the true followers of Jesus and the Book. Muslims do not believe in Jesus. The Jesus that Muslims talk about is a figment of Muhammad's imagination, not the Jesus followed by the Christians. As for the Book, Muslims think the Bible is corrupted and therefore following it is the same as shirk or kufr (disbelief).

In nowhere the Bible says Islam is truth. This is a total lie. Muhammad claimed that he is mentioned in the Bible and is referred to as Ahmad. This is untrue. But when he failed to show such thing in the Bible, he claimed that the Bible is corrupted and the reference to him has been blotted out. What can be more ridiculous than this? Suppose there is a reference in the Quran to their Mahdi, would Muslims blot that out? This makes no sense.

Muhammad just said a lie because at the time his followers were a bunch of ignorant peasants turned marauders. His goal was much more immediate. He wanted to impress those foolhardy people. At the time, he could not even think that this insanity that he had started would one day become such a world lunacy. So, he lied freely. As his lies became exposed, his followers came up with more lies to cover the first lies.

What you said about Muhammad PBUH is rude and all lies, spoken like a Satan, yet you see nothing as such, how sad that is. Furthermore, as I said, I will debate this by the Noble book, and not story books I have no need. Now you may see the evil you say as not rude, we have a proverb at Arabia: if the Camel looks at its Hub while traveling, it will fall and breaks it neck, let me save you, do not look at your rudeness, but you are so full believe me. What you say increase the hate in our hearts, and leave no good memory of any kind for you. The way you speak about what I sacred shows how rude you are, and what you call true are all lies that I will prove, yet I will not attack *Bugs Bunny* the God you believe in any way as you attack what I believe.

These are all hot air. Let us get to the point and prove the claim of Muhammad.

Ok, Ayah 2:2, this is how you see, and three mistakes you see, is that true, although they say Carrot give good eye sight, now I am in suspicion big that is. Let us see, the first interpretation you saw here.

You said: "The righteous ones are already guided. They do not need guidance. Those who are lost need guidance but the Quran can't help them. So the Quran is redundant. It is a useless book by its own admission".

I say: I understand why you said what you said and how wrong you could be, no doubt about thee. Let me give you the real meaning of this Ayah, and you can ask Arabs to tell you how I am telling the truth. When we say: Guidance for the Muttaqein, it does not mean Righteous, it means those who fear Allah, let me explain perhaps, just perhaps, who knows. When we Muslims see someone doing evil, we say to him/her: *Ittaki Allah* (fear Allah), which means something to stop him/her, and this is not spoken to the Righteous if you know what I mean. Taqwa means fearing Allah, and Allah says here: this is the book, in it what guides those who have fear.

Therefore, you are wrong on both counts, this book is all about Guidance for the lost, but not all, only those who fear the LORD, and they wish to be in the Kingdom with the Angels, others would clearly tell you: your Allah speaks of Hell, we are glad to have, and blow you and what your book say, save us from your preaching please. This book is for those who wish to be helped, but others do not wish, why force them, they have reserved their place in Hell, as also Jesus said in Christians' books and Jewish Tanak too, that speak about the Pit.

According to Muhammad, only Allah decides whom to guide and whom not to guide.

If We had so willed, We could certainly have brought every soul its true guidance: but the Word from Me will come true, "I will fill Hell with Jinns and men all together." Q. 32.13

Now, isn't this sadism? This Allah CAN guide people, but he doesn't. Is such insane god worthy of worship? Not only he does not guide them, he even seals their hearts.

Such are men whose hearts Allah has sealed. 47.16

Note here Allah is talking of himself in third person. Is this really Allah talking or Muhammad? He does not stop at that. He curses them and makes them deaf and blind.

Such are the men whom Allah has cursed for He has made them deaf and blinded their sight. 47.23

In another verse he says:

This is an admonition: whosoever will, let him take a path to his Lord. But ye will not, except as Allah wills. 76:29

This is ridiculous. On one hand, he admonishes people and on the other hand he says they can't be guided except those decided by Allah. This is clear indication that Allah is unjust.

Instead of making people see they are mistaken, he maliciously makes them err more and makes their deeds pleasing to them. 27:4, 13:33 This is like a teacher complementing the students when they are wrong and wait until the exam to fail them. Allah as envisioned by Muhammad is a psychopath. Could it be that he forged this imaginary deity after his own inner desire for absolute wanton power? "Allah doeth what He willeth" 14.27. A narcissist does not wish to be nailed by any law. He sets the rules for others. These rules are whimsical, but he himself is not to be fettered by any rule. Others must obey his fiat, yet he is above any constraint. He can be fitful, erratic and do as he pleases.

The interesting part is that elsewhere he says it is Satan who makes people's sins seem alluring to them. 6:43, 8:48, 16:63

Isn't it logical to deduce that Satan is a partner of Allah, helping him in his daily work of misguiding people? Or perhaps Allah has a split personality and Allah and Satan are the same?

You said: "Also there is another elemental logical error in this verse. It says dalikal kitab "THAT book". Which book? It can't be

the Quran. If it was referring to the Quran, it should have said: hadal kitab "this book". Are we to presume that Allah does not know the difference between this and that?"

I say: That is a figure of speech, if you were an Arab you may understand this, let me lead an example. When you are touching something, you say: this, but Allah is not touching the book when He speaks, and He speaks from His Grace and distance, saying to us who hold to the book: That is the book, when we look those of us who hold on to the book we see as this, not as that, what Allah sees from His Height and might as that, we see as this. We Muslims do understand how Allah is speaking about the Noble Qur'an, and from His distance He says: that, which means the book people hold on to down here. You saw three mistakes, I am sorry I see only two, if I missed the third one tell me, and I will explain to you. I did not say the Qur'an is the book of God, I will proof it to be, and we just started, also you showed signs of running from the very start, you need a scholar, why, if you cannot handle me, who told you can handle a Scholar, a true one that is? Let us see how you can deal with me first, before you go to another level in this tour. But if you see in how Allah does not know what book, or wish to presume, I say: when I read this Ayah, I am reading from the only book that has such a verse, and this same Ayah tells about the book I am reading from: that is the book Allah says, when I ask like you: what book, the answer is how many I am holding and reading from, just one, how smart I should be to figure that out indeed?

If Allah is omnipresent and closer to us than our jugular veins as the Quran claims, then what you say makes no sense. Even if Allah is not omnipresent, is limited by space and lives in a planet far away, as you seem to imply, still this way of speech is wrong in all languages. If I call this article "that article", it would be confusing. You as the reader of this article could be half a world

away from me, despite that this article is this article and not that article.

The third error that you missed is the claim of "there is no doubt in it". 80% of the people of the world doubt the Quran. So this claim is a lie. At the this verse was written, most people disbelieved in it, even the Meccans and the Jews. This is an empty boast.

I cannot deny I am glad you will take the issues one by one, surprised from your response, but nevertheless happy too. Good, let us take it one by one, You will speak about the first issue next, I also will bring my first too if you do not mind, I do not wish you to feel like you are debating yourself, but I do need to say: Hey: I am here. I am waiting for your next Issue about the Qur'an; I will as well bring mine too. And since here you brought one, here too is what I have to ask you:

You say: "The Noble Qur'an is not from the LORD, and it is from Muhammad PBUH, and PBUH your God Buggs Bunny too, I already have respect for your God you see, while you do not have for the One I believe in. Let me ask you as you will be asking me; let us see what you have to say, as we see what I have to say too. The Noble Qur'an spoke about how the Heavens and Earth were in form of Smoke, as today's Science proved that too, and then Allah split them into planets including ours, and also made the heavens seven. How Muhammad PBUH and PBUH your God Mr. Buggs Bunny, How did a man from the middle of the Desert, 1425 years back have such knowledge, please do not ignore, this is also about proving to you how the Qur'an is from the LORD, not the only one, or the End of our debate, but just the first step in our thousand mile trip with you".

How Muhammad PBUH and PBUH your God Buggs Bunny knew how all were in the form of Smoke, I hope you know how Science did speak fully about this, and the Atheists who do not believe in the LORD say: see, this is how things started, and a big Bang took place, and where all those Zillions of Planets came about, there is no God Indeed. While we say to those and there equals from Cartoon worshipers: who created the Smoke to start with, and who brought forth the Big Bang, Allah says: they were together and He split them, which of course is what Atheists believe in and call it by the Big Bang, am I still speaking in a way you understand, or this is above the ground, and your God Buggs always misses because he made a turn at Alba Quirky?

I accept your challenge; you are showing signs of running as it is, which is reasonable and I can understand, let me show what you told my friend to tell me:

You said: "Please tell Mr. Assadi to introduce himself. What book has he written. Is he the imam of a mosque? Which mosque? I will not debate with him if he is an obscure personage. His tone is not scholarly and if he is not notable, there is no point in debating."

I say: I am more clear than all those you wish to debate with, rest assured, and your readers and mine will come to know, so please stay foot, no need to run we just started and you couldn't handle, while you showed like a Cartoon challenging all Muslims about what they believe. As to the issue of introducing myself, I thought I did, I am a Muslim, you need more, fine: I have Allah, you don't, I believe in Muhammad and you won't, I hang on so strong to the Qur'an, while you miss, and how sad is your case believe me there is no excuse. What more you wish me to say, this says it all, if you see yourself capable, why be afraid of who am I, are we doing anything in secrecy, or are we not doing this in front of all eyes to see?

I give Tafseer (Interpretation) of Qur'an in many Masjids (Muslim houses of worship), and I speak to 100000 from all over the world, over the Net, trying to reach my first million may Allah help me. I wrote a book named: the date of the return of Jesus, and the signs of the End, I wrote a book named: Trinity between Fiction and

Reality, I wrote a book titled: who is that Prophet, about prophecies in the Jewish, Christians, Hindu books about Muhammad PBUH, and how he was promised to come by the books in their hands too. I am a very active man in this regard, you are not talking to an unknown, I am more of a Celebrity than you rest assured. How else I can introduce me, there is not need, it is not I we are discussing anyway, unless it is how it gives you pleasure thinking this time you will defeat?

We at Arabia say: do not count the fish in the Sea, until you really defeat me, you did not, and all shall see. What I know about you is less than what you know about me, mostly how you attack my Allah, my Qur'an, my prophet like a Satan, nothing else I know about thee, and this did not stop me. Even when I asked about what you believe, since you yourself are in doubt in your belief, you started believing in Buggs Bunny, that is all Folks.

Please let us know which Mosque you preach and if you have radio programs, tell us which radios. Thanks.

The claim that the Quran contains scientific statements is bogus. This is what Denis Giron has defined as "The Miracle of Reinterpretation".

Take the verse:

Allah said in the Quran: Have you not seen how Allah makes the clouds move gently, then joins them together, then makes them into a stack, and then you see the rain come out of it...? 24:43

Muslim propagandists including the charlatan Dr. Bucaille who wrote a book for no other reason than to make money out of the gullible Muslims have claimed that this statement is scientific that was unknown to ordinary people 1400 years ago. Any reasonable person can see this is the statement of the obvious. This is also clear from the verse itself. The sentence starts with the question "Have you not seen?" It is clear that Muhammad here is talking

about something known and seen by everyone. Do I need to be a botanist to say, "Have you not seen how trees grow, blossom during the spring, yield fruit during the summer and shed their leaves at fall"?

This is the nature of the alleged scientific miracles in the Quran. Some of them are commonsense natural phenomena observable by any person and known at all times and some of them are mere scientific fallacies, reinterpreted and redressed to mean something else. There is not a single verse in the Quran that would have required knowledge beyond what an ordinary man in the seventh century Arabia possessed.

Muslim propagandists claim that the verse 41:11 that says: "Then he turned to the sky, and it had been (as) smoke (dukhaan): He said to it and to the earth: come you together, willingly or unwillingly. They said: we do come (together) in willing obedience", is a scientific statement and since this could not have been known at the time of Muhammad, it is proof that the Quran is from God.

Apart from the goofy suggestion made in this verse that inanimate objects such as the sky and the earth can think and make willful decisions, the whole idea expressed in this verse is scientifically absurd.

The preceding verses to the above make everything clear. They say that Allah created the earth in two Days 41:9 and set on the (earth), mountains and provided nourishment for all beings in four days. 41:10. It is only in the subsequent verse that it says "Then he turned to the sky, and it had been (as) smoke." If we assume the verse 41:11 speaks of the primordial plasma, these statements are anachronous. These verses suggest that the Earth was already formed and it had living creatures roaming on it before the sky, which was up until then like smoke, was joined to it. This is obviously not so. When the universe was in gaseous form, the

Earth was part of it and not a distinct and separate object. So the statement "come together willingly or unwillingly" is non-sensical.

Denis Giron humorously writes: "There seems to be a sequential flow in those verses- the progressive development of Allah's creation, and after six days is when he turns to the sky and earth, and has a cute little conversation, probably in Tagalong, with Mother Earth and Father Sky, while there are probably burgeoning dinosaurs tromping about on the earth, who get to witness Allah installing the lighting and genii-security system. The lower heavens are adorned after it was said the earth was in existence. Again, this is not a scientific explanation, creation by divine fiat. Allah doesn't explain gravitation and the forces molding stars, all he says is to look up the sky and give him credit, even if his description of cosmological development is at odds."

The verse that follows says:

So He completed them as seven firmaments in two Days, and He assigned to each heaven its duty and command. And We adorned the lower heaven with lights, and (provided it) with guard. Such is the Decree of (Him) the Exalted in Might, Full of Knowledge. 41:12

Are these scientific statements? Is the universe made of seven firmaments? Is it created in two days? Are these "lights" (stars) placed in the lower heaven? These are derisory statements. I already explained the origin of the belief in seven heavens stated in all Semitic religions. Also read my article 'Shooting Stars' where I have shown the rest of the claims made in this verse are asinine and not scientific.

The Big Bang in the Quran

Muhammad describes the cataclysmic story of the creation and the origin of the Universe as follow: Do not the Unbelievers see that the heavens and the earth were joined together (as one unit of creation), before we clove them asunder? 21:30

Muslims claim this is an allusion to the Big Bang. However this is a rehashing of Genesis:

⁶ And God said, "Let there be an expanse between the waters to separate water from water." ⁷ So God made the expanse and separated the water under the expanse from the water above it. And it was so. ⁸ God called the expanse "sky." And there was evening, and there was morning—the second day. - Gen 1: 6-9

The story of creation was the most favorite story of the ancient people, just as the story of evolution is the most fascinating story of our time. People always wanted to know their origin. Undauntedly Muhammad had heard the fable of creation a hundred times since his childhood, especially when he visited the market of Okaz and listened to the preaching of the bishop, Sad ibn Qis and other Christian priests. He rehashes this tale in the Quran.

The Quran is full of legends borrowed from the Bible and the fables of the Arabs. These in turn were based on the myths of ancient Sumerians, Babylonians, Canaanites, etc. Muhammad's cosmology is the cosmology of the ancient people. In pre-Hebrew Semitic myth, two watery tumultuous beings, one male and one female, Apsu (sweet water) and Tiamat (salt water) give birth to a variety of sea monsters and gods. In the ensuing chaos, Tiamat, the female creator tries to take control. Her descendants unite against her, choosing one of their number - Marduk, the god of Babylon to lead them. Armed with a hurricane and riding a tempest drawn by four fiery steeds, Marduk meets Tiamat and her evil accomplice Kingu in battle. He kills them both.

After the death of Tiamat her conqueror forms the heavens and the earth by cutting her body open "like a cockleshell" and lifting up one half to form the sky while leaving the bottom half as the earth:

The lord rested; he gazed at the huge body, pondering how to use it, what to create from the dead carcass. He split it apart like a cockleshell; with the upper half he constructed the arc of sky, he pulled down the bar. http://cc.usu.edu/~fath6/worldview.html

Not in the modern science but in the ancient mythology of Mesopotamia we must look to find the origin and the significance of the obtuse verses of the Quran. The Quran is a book of fables based on previous fables. There is nothing scientific in that book.

Part III

Muslims Don't Believe in Jesus

2005/12/19

Ali Sina,

you are very funny, I said how your kind read and do not understand, and I really appreciate how you support me, I told you when you dump a ton of paper debate on me, I will ignore your insincerity, and will answer one by one, whether you chose to understand simple English or wish to play Buggs Bunny. You forgot, I do not believe in the same Joker for a God as you do. Therefore, I am looking at your claim here, and the first thing I see is this:

I answer to all the points you raise in your letter. If you don't like that, just stick to one point at a time and I will respond to that point alone. I am not introducing new topics into the debate.

You said: "Muslims are not the true followers of Jesus and the Book. Muslims do not believe in Jesus. The Jesus that Muslims talk about is a figment of Muhammad's imagination not the Jesus

followed by the Christians. As for the Book, Muslims think the Bible is corrupted and therefore following it is the same as shirk or kufr (disbelief)".

I say: I would reject anything different coming from you, especially if it made any sense. Let us see how Muslims do not believe in Jesus PBUH, is this true, or a lie? Look how you contradict yourself, one time saying Muslims do not believe in Jesus, another you claim they do, but the Jesus they believe in is a figment of fiction Muhammad PBUH made up, are you for real?

And what part of that is contradiction? Muslims use lots of words that confuse the listener, but they actually mean a different thing. For example Muslims insist that they believe in the same god of Christians, but if you pay close attention to how Jesus described his god and how Muhammad described his, you'll see there is a world of difference. Muslims use a lot of terms that other use and yet they mean a different thing.

Anyway, you made here many lies, let us pick up one by one and answer accordingly.

You said: "Muslims are not the true followers of Jesus and the Book. Muslims do not believe in Jesus"

Anyone looking in the pages and the teachings of Qur'an will easily see how Jesus PBUH was mentioned a lot, more than you can handle, in what manner Jesus was mentioned? Most of the miracles mentioned in the Christians so called New Testament, are mentioned in the Noble Qur'an as follows:

Ayah 3:49, 5:110 speaks how Jesus healed Leprosy, revived the dead, and healed the Mute.

Ayah 3:46 speaks how he speaks to humanity as a child, and in old age, meaning when he returns. And he tells about what blessings/food people receive before they receive it, and what they hide in the depth of their walls he can tell as well.

Ayah 2:87 speaks about supporting him with the Holy Ghost, as the case when he was Baptized by John, and the spirit over his head.

Ayah 2:136 orders us Muslims to say we believe in what Jesus was given, not by what was given by Jesus as Christians imagine in the four Gospels.

Ayah 3:45 describes what came in the book of Luke when Gabriel came to Mary giving her the wonderful News of having Jesus PBUH while she was a Virgin.

Ayah 3:52 shows how Jesus and the Disciples were Muslims, whether you come to agree or deny makes no difference.

Ayah 3:55 speaks about how Allah told Jesus PBUH how he will take him up next to Allah, what Christians say: on the right hand of Grace.

Ayah 4:157 shows how it is a lie that Jews killed Jesus, and how Allah put someone who looks like on the cross instead, I will show you the same from the so called New Testament some other time which attests to the truthfulness of what the Qur'aan brought but Christians cannot see it, and Jesus was not Crucified, what Christians have is Cross Fiction, not Crucifixion. We do not deny the cross, but say the man on the cross was not Jesus.

Ayah 4:171 speaks how Jesus is the Word of Allah, given to Mary, and called him as the son of Mary, I think even in your limited knowledge you know this to exist in their books as well, and John 1:1 says: the Word was with God.

Ayah 5:113-114 speaks about the table of the last Supper, they know it too, and in their books is mentioned.

Ayah 43:63 how Jesus PBUH brought wisdom to the Israelis, in Mathew they ask: is not this Jesus from Joseph, where he brought this knowledge

Ayah 57:27 speaks how Allah gave Jesus PBUH the Bible, none of the men who wrote the four Gospels claims to receive from God.

Ayah 62:6 speaks how Jesus PBUH is sent from Allah, and the New Testament is full in support, all the time Jesus said: the one who sent me, and you will come to believe how he sent me.

Ayah 61:43 speaks about how he is coming back, and this is as a sign for the end. They too can tell you exactly the same, and cannot deny it.

Surah 2 says how he was a messenger for the Israelis, as their book says: Jesus said: I came only for the lost sheep of Israel, and Christians say how he lied, he came for the whole world. Their book and their God say how to his own he came and his own rejected him (wonderful failure who did nothing), Christians claim their God lied and he sent Jesus on behalf of humanity.

As you see I brought one tenth of what is mentioned in my book about Jesus PBUH just to give you an idea, and to show how you are lying, Muslim's book believe in Jesus PBUH, all those verses are not speaking about the empty space of your thinking. So, your lies are obvious, Muslims are the true followers, and Muslim's book orders us to believe and love Jesus PBUH.

Yes, Muhammad mentions Jesus many times. But his version of Jesus is not the same version as understood by the Christians. He had his own version and condemned those Christians who did not subscribe to his version.

To not fall into the trap of Muslims, you have to understand their tricks. They use the same words as others use. This lulls people into believing Islam is not much different from what they already believe. However, once you convert, gradually they indoctrinate you and make you understand what they actually mean by those worlds is different.

You said: "The Jesus that Muslims talk about is a figment of Muhammad's imagination, not the Jesus followed by the Christians."

Another lie, let us see how from the worshipers of a Joker for a God? All what we mentioned above are found in the New Testament, if one book is speaking about a Figment of fiction, then both should fall under the same. If your knowledge is so limited to where each of such is found in their books, let me help you to find them, just ask. All this is figment of fiction, then it is true how Jesus never existed, and Christians worship their sick imagination. Now let us see, this is not the Jesus followed by Christianity. I am shocked, true words spoken through ignorance are shocking to me. Yes, but the question is: do Christians believe in the true Jesus, or again they are following ignorance of their hearts, let us see. Let me show you the Ludicrously Christians live in, which is evil as well, because we have known this stupidity of man worship through human history, even today besides Christians in Hinduism which is the source of Christianity.

(1) Christians ignorance says: God is one in three personality, triple faced that is. He is God the Father, God the Son, and God the Holy Ghost, now see how much ignorance in this. They say God is a Spirit in the book of John, now we see Jesus the body in a trinity of one body two Ghost, or fully possessed. God is a Spirit, Holy Ghost is a Spirit, Jesus is the body, a body full with Ghosts, Ludicrous big time from the nonsense of Trinity. Two hoodlum and one body?

I they say he is one, yet one sits on the right hand side of another, or on the right Hand side of himself, Ludicrous.

II they say one, yet one is the son of another, or the son of himself, Ludicrously, and Insane to say the least.

III They say one, yet in the book of Luke Jesus prays to the other all night, are they for real, is there any intelligent left among those?

IV They say one, yet one is the High priest to another, or High priest to himself, Ludicrously, and nonsense.

IIV They say ever living, yet he dies for three days and three night, are there any brain left in those to show them the truth, or they are as hopeless as asking Quiet from a Barrel of monkeys?

IIIV They say he is better and greater than all creation, yet was made little less than the Angels in the book of Hebrews, and raised to a level of a man named Melchisedec, are they sane, really, or are we speaking insanity and fiction in the name of reality?

If you wish more I have written a book about Christian Idiocy and what they made of Jesus, this should tell us where is this figment of fiction, and who is telling lies from the Joker's worshipers Sina Ali. So, now we see how you lied about Muhammad PBUH making fiction, Jesus in the Noble Qur'an is the closest to reality than any, and what Christians made of him is nothing but stupidity. Yes, I agree we will not accept such nonsense, we are sane, why should we lose our sanity over such idiocy, we do believe in the real Jesus?

So why are you shocked and call me a liar when you agree with me that you do not believe in the same Jesus that the Christians believe? That is exactly what I said and you made it more than clear. Whether your version of Jesus is the right one or theirs, is not my point. The point is that the Jesus Muhammad talked about is not the same Jesus that the Christians follow. You say that Muhammad's version is the real one. That is your opinion. As far as I am concerned, Muhammad was a pathetic liar. Virtually everything he said was a lie. How this liar could have known the truth? But that is another point. My point is that Muslims do not believe in the Jesus of the Christians. You do not believe in their Book and you do not believe in their god. You have your own definition of all these and the fact that you use the same words is misleading. It is like you and I talk about chair. When I have an easy chair in mind and you have an electric chair in mind. We use the same word but there is a world of difference between what we mean. One good example of that is the word peace. Everyone thinks peace means harmonious relationship. But for Muslims peace means subjugating others.

You said: "As for the Book, Muslims think the Bible is corrupted and therefore following it is the same as shirk or kufr."

I say: O LORD, it is not enough some believe in Jokers for Gods, but they too are so ridiculously funny. No Sina Ali, or Sina of Egypt, educated Muslims wouldn't say such nonsense, Educated Muslims would tell you how Jews made those books in their hands, with such evil unequalled, insulting all the men of the LORD, including what they worship for Deity. Qur'an speaks about the Bible given to Jesus PBUH, not the man made nonsense that came from the four Gospels, books of Hadeeth about Jesus PBUH. The Bible is a Heavenly book given to Jesus, not a book given by, or speaks about Jesus by ignorant men, and a book full with lies. Believing in the Bible is Obligatory, but believing in mad made idiocy is evil and unacceptable on the part of Christianity.

Let me show you some of the lies in Christians hands, I have hundreds, reading the genealogy of their God from Mathew, their invented God came from David, and Solomon, reading the same from Luke, their fiction God comes from David and Nathan, now following this nonsense all the way through, we will see both end at Joseph while supposed to be about their false God, and while in all books Jesus asked them: how the son of man is the son of David (Math 22:45, Mark 12:35—37, Luke 20:41-44). Through the whole so called New Testament it says how Jesus PBUH is the son of David, count how many lies that is.

Yes, In Qur'an, he is the son of Mary, closer as could be to the truth, and supporting what came in Luke how Mary was a relative of Elizabeth, who was from the children of Aaron the brother of

Moses PBUH, and Jesus PBUH is the son of Moses PBUH, not David PBUH. It is Christians who have figment of fiction, not what Muhammad Brought, and while you lie about all things, we will show you the truth about everything we say, and able to do it as well.

You should convince the Christians that your version of the Bible is the right version and theirs is corrupted and false. All I said is that you do not believe in the same Book that they do and this is what you tell me after calling me a liar. Which part of what I said was a lie? I think on this point we both agree. Why do you attack me when I said the same thing?

You said: Disbelief, between brackets Kufir, now let us tell you how Christians are the worst disbelievers in Jesus, Paul says: they left the creator and worship the created, the Old Testament according to them says in the book of Isaiah: you are my son, today I have begotten you, another says: I made you. They left the true worshiped, to worship Jesus in his place. Their evil against Jesus PBUH and His LORD Allah is so horrible, and so inexcusable, yet they have the blind leading the blind into believing Islam is the bad thing, how sad is the example of those who worship men like Jesus.

There we go again. You confirmed what I said. It is important to make this clear that when Muhammad said: "and say, we believe in what has been sent down to us and sent down to you. Our God is the same as your God. 29:45 he was trying to deceive the Christians. The truth is that Muhammad did not accept the Christianity of the Christians and said "Allah's curse be on them, because they are deluded away from the Truth!" 9:30 Whether

Muhammad is the liar or the Christians is another subject that I am not interested to get into.

Muslims are the true followers, you lied about such, and you know so little, and know nothing except the hate in your heart for Allah, Muhammad and Muslims, which is blinding you. Also those like you who are so blind attacking the truth in Islam, instead of giving themselves a chance to see reality. Jesus and Muhammad spoke about those who have eyes but see nothing, have ears cannot hear but evil preaching, and have hearts and understand Zero or below freezing Gravity. Your kind say: hey allow me to put Oxygen on your eyes to heal, while trying to damage their sight permanently.

This is all *ad hominem* and I am not going to dignify it with a response.

The last time I did not ask you to tell me how Allah spoke about the clouds, I asked how Muhammad PBUH knew about the Smoke the Universe came from, I am still waiting for an answer, if you cannot do; say so, I know how this is beyond *Buggs Bunny*.

._____

You don't seem to have read my previous response to the end. I already responded to this nonsense. That was the main subject and the title of my response.

I also asked you to tell me which mosque you preach. Which books you have published? If you have a website, what is your website? I don't know even your email. Why can't you send your responses directly to me and why you have to go through someone else?

Part IV

Skies like Smoke:

Did Muhammad speak of the Big Bang?

2005/12/20

Sina Ali,

It looks like you did not see how I spoke, and how I said you lied, you did lie nevertheless on every count and I went into little detail explaining to full extent to those who have eyes and can see. Now saying how we agree, and yet I say you lied, no that is not true, anyway, you said your piece and I showed you how far you are from showing reason and logic.

Mr. Al Assadi,

If you want to debate with me please get to the point. Your ad hominem and boastful talk can't be used as a substitute for arguments. I said Muslims do not believe in Jesus, the Bible and the god of the Christians. They have their own versions of these which are different from those believed by the Christians. They use the same words but mean different things. You called me a liar and then went on to confirm what I said. Whether your version is the true one or theirs is not my point. You discuss that with Christians. My point is that when Muslims say we believe in the same god that the Christians believe, they lie. This you already confirmed. There is nothing to argue.

Now you keep asking questions, this is so weird, what have you done with the only question I dared to ask about you personally, you made your God a Joker, and your belief as a run a mock.

I speak to each in accordance to his capacity. I determined this language is more appropriate for you. To an academician I speak in an academic language, to a child I speak like a child. When I speak to a toddler, I become a toddler and to a joker become a joker. This is the way it should be. It would be foolish to speak to a toddler in a language that he would not understand.

You wrote to me claiming you are going to prove my charges against Muhammad are wrong. But instead, the first question you asked was about my belief. Why should this be important? I accused Muhammad of lots of crimes. Your job is to defend him and acquit him of those charges, not to put me or my belief on trial. If I claim to be a prophet, then you have all the rights to question me. Otherwise why should my belief be important?

Though you did not introduce who you are, where you are from, or anything about you, to me you are a no body still, and I did not mind challenging you based on that, it is not you I care to know or matters in my sight.

That is the way it should be. Your job is to prove Muhammad was not a liar not to discuss about me. Keep me and my beliefs out of it. It is Muhammad we are talking about. Why I asked about your credential? It is to determine whether it is worth for me to spend time responding to you. You know about me. You know or have heard about my site. At lease someone convinced you that it is worth your time refuting me. But I know nothing about you and it is fair to ask.

Here what you keep asking time and time:

You said: "I also asked you to tell me which mosque you preach. Which books you have published? If you have a website,

what is your website? I don't know even your email. Why can't you send your responses directly to me and why you have to go through someone else?"

I say: I told you out of the goodness of my heart a lot about me, and who I am (I said I am, now Christians will bow in worship for my great I AM), while you did not even dare to tell me what you believe in, while it was the only question I asked. Let me ask you the same: what Church you preach at, which books you published, do you have a Website, what is it about. My E mail troubles you, take it down: massadi2003@hotmail.com, but I will continue to debate out here in the light in front of all eyes, there is no point to debate in the dark. So do not trouble yourself sending to my inbox. As for why I am using the help of another, it is simple where I live your link is blocked, your kind are not welcomed, and I cannot access what you can because some sane do realize and cannot tolerate Satan Advocates chat with only lies, that is why I needed someone to help to bypass what others cannot accept on your behalf, and though I do not accept all the lies you say, yet I see how you need help, and I am a good man.

This is called censorship of thought. In the civilized world no person can decide what others can and cannot read. Your friend said you live in UAE and you can't access the site. Well, that explains why you accepted to debate. Most people who read this site to stay away and do not dare to debate. Anyway, try a proxy server to access this site. Here you can find a list of them. www.freeproxy.ru/en/free_proxy/cgi-proxy.htm. A proxy server will allow you to access blocked sites.

As saying why I called you a liar while we agree, no we do not agree, I still answered how Jesus is not a Figment of fiction on Muhammad's part, I showed you how he is a figment of fiction on

Christians' idiocy and nonsense about what they made of Jesus Christ. I still say you lied how Jesus is a figment of fiction on Muhammad's part, gave you one example how the same is said in their books in complete match. Using the same words does not mean misleading, means we are telling what is true as true, we acknowledge as such, and what is not as not but do not trouble yourself to understand the obvious, too much work for your heart. As convincing Christians how our truth is true, and theirs is sick, I showed you how their book is just a bulk of lies, I brought you examples, and have thousands still in stock, I also call what they call the word of God by the words of Satan, that they call God of this world. Their book also says how they worship Satan, and I can prove every word I state out. I am working on showing them the truth, my fist book shortly will be in every one's hand.

You should settle your beef with the Christians. This is not a Christian site. In this site I am interested only to discuss about Muhammad and his crimes. There is no point in talking about Christianity. Show me what proof you have to the claim of Muhammad that he was a prophet or disprove my charges against him. I accused him of being a pedophile, a rapist, a thief, an assassin, a mass murderer, a liar, a pervert womanizer among other things. This is the subject you must discuss.

.....

I had a Website, was destroyed, its name was *The Last Days*, it is a shame I did not make a Back up. I am planning on having a Group on msn soon, to show all of what we say here to everyone who wish to see, and learn how to defeat the evil your kind propagate with lies about Islam. I will also put all my previous debates with the evil, and show what I had to say about such. As for my books, I already written seven books, the first is ready, I am facing Financial situation to advertise as I wish, the name is:

Jewish Christian Testimony Islam is THE religion from the LORD. I wrote a book named Trinity between fiction and reality, I wrote a book about who is that prophet showing prophecies about Muhammad from Hindu, Jewish, and Christians' books, I wrote a book about the return of Jesus naming the day, the month and the year based on the only book that can tell us that, also the signs of the end of time, I will not discuss any of such, when the first one is out you will be the first to know rest assured and you can read at that time yourself. I said all of this before, yet you did not see or wish to ignore, I do not know how many times I need to repeat before this will sink at your end?

Okay. I take you for your word that you are a scholar.

Part IV

Skies like Smoke:

Did Muhammad speak of the Big Bang?

I asked you how Muhammad knew the Heavens and Earth were smoke like, you insisted I overlooked your answer, could you read your answer and point out how you answered my question? The question again says: how Muhammad knew that the Heavens were smoke like, I did not ask your opinion whether if they knew or not is proof or not on Qur'an being true, I asked you: how Muhammad knew that the heavens were smoke like also Earth. I did not say this is the only thing we have, now if you wish to jump every time we bring something, you may land wrong one time and break something, you need to watch out. Again, I ask you: how Muhammad knew that the Heavens and Earth were smoke like, whether this proves Qur'an true or not is not an issue to discuss at this line now, I hope you can see how those words are spoken with

such assured manner, not having ifs or buts. How Muhammad knew such, and was so sure about. You have no way of monkeying around saying he was not sure, you have no proof, and I think you need to answer the question, or simply say you cannot, I will still be waiting to see that, do not come around say you already did, then I will ask you to do again, and answer again next time.

O LORD give me strength, again, the question is: how Muhammad knew that Earth and the Heavens were smoke before he split them? Too hard still, I give up? "

Mr. Al Assadi

I already answered this question. I will reword it. Your interpretation of this verse is wrong. Let me quote all the four verses that talk about his cosmic event.

Yusuf Ali

041:009 Say: Is it that ye deny Him Who created the earth in two Days? And do ye join equals with Him? He is the Lord of (all) the Worlds.

041:010 He set on the (earth), mountains standing firm, high above it, and bestowed blessings on the earth, and measure therein all things to give them nourishment in due proportion, in four Days, in accordance with (the needs of) those who seek (Sustenance).

041:011 Moreover He comprehended in His design the sky, and it had been (as) smoke: He said to it and to the earth: "Come ye together, willingly or unwillingly." They said: "We do come (together), in willing obedience."

041:012 So He completed them as seven firmaments in two Days, and He assigned to each heaven its duty and command. And We adorned the lower heaven with lights, and (provided it) with

guard. Such is the Decree of (Him) the Exalted in Might, Full of Knowledge.

Here we see Muhammad saying that the first thing God did was to create the Earth in two days. Then he set on it the mountains and plants and animals. All this took another four days. "*Then*", i.e. in the last day, after all the above was already done, he erected the sky.

Is this how the universe is formed? I don't know how much real science they teach in the schools in the UAE, but this is not the way the universe is formed. According to the above verses, the creation of the world starts with the creation of the Earth. Once the Earth is completed, is filled with plants and animals, it is only *then* that Allah turns to the sky and *lifts it up* and *adorns it* with stars. Any school kid (not being fed with the Islamic nonsense) will tell you this is crazy.

The universe is estimated to be between 13 to 14 billion years old. The Earth is only 4.5 billion years old. The first micro organisms appeared 3.5 billion years ago. Although soft bodied creatures have appeared 3 billion years ago, the oldest animal fossil found is only 600 million years old. And we are just talking about "multi-celled" organisms such as sponge-like animals, cnidarians, and worms. The dinosaurs appeared during the Jurassic period, approximately 200 million years ago and became extinct over 60 million years ago.

When the universe was in gaseous form, i.e. 13 billion years ago there was no Earth and there were no creatures. The story of the evolution/creation given in the Quran is anachronic and asinine. It has no resemblance to what science says. Every aspect of it is wrong. The verse 12 continues with this potty description of the creation. It says that He (Allah) completed them as seven firmaments in two Days. (Note how often Muhammad forgets that the Quran is supposed to be dictated by Allah and speaks in third person when he refers to him).

What seven heavens? There are no seven heavens. This is fairytale. Now with the proxy server please read it and also read the article on Shooting Stars. If you can't still access them, maybe your friend can send them to you by email.

Then Muhammad says that Allah adorned the lower heaven with "lights" (stars).

These are all fairytales. There is nothing scientific in them. Assuming that the smoke of which Muhammad is talking about is the same gaseous form of the early universe, how can you explain all these gobbledygook in the Quran?

All we need to establish that the Quran is not the word of God is ONE error. God should not make even one error. If there is one single error in the entire Quran, this is proof that that book is not from an infallible God. However we find hundreds of errors of all kinds. You are desperate to find miracles in the Quran and try to reinterpret things to confirm your belief and deliberately overlook the humongous errors in that book.

The image that Muhammad had of the creation of the universe was the same that the ancient people had. Similar tales are repeated in the Bible and can also be found in the lore of the Sumerians, Babylonians and the Canaanites. They thought that the sky is made of seven layers and it is pitched like a dome. The word (erect, raise, stand, hoist, pitch) in this verse shows that Muhammad thought, the sky is a dome that is pitched over the Earth. In the verse 13.2 and 31.10 he even mentions that this sky is *raised* "without any pillars that ye can see".

These are all scientific fallacies. They are based on the beliefs of primitive people about the Universe. Now you want to take the word smoke and say here Muhammad is talking about the original state of the Universe? This is preposterous.

The Origin of the Smoke

Muhammad is saying that before this dome of sky was raised, everything above the surface of the earth was like smoke. This is not scientific. The origin of this fable can be found in the myths of the Mesopotamians. These stories tell us that after the great god Marduk, slew the serpent of the seas, he cleaved her body and hoisted the upper half as sky. He then firmly fixed the stars, arranging the constellations of the zodiac, and created the moon—"sets him as a creature of night, to make known the days monthly without failing".* In this way Marduk brought order to the universe. Marduk created seven heavens, and stationed each god (planets revolving around the sun were believed to be gods) to his appropriate sphere. Before that everything was formless and in a state of chaos.

The dragoness goddess Tiamat represents the state of untamed formless universe. She is surrounded by mist and clouds of smoke, principles of disorder.

(* Note how Muhammad uses this same very concept to answer people who ask him about the moon: "They ask thee concerning the New Moons. Say: They are but signs to mark fixed periods of time in (the affairs of) men, and for Pilgrimage. 2.189)

Part IV

Skies like Smoke:

Did Muhammad speak of the Big Bang?

Then Marduk slew Kingu, the rebellious and incestuous son of Tiamat and with his blood he created the human race to serve as slaves to the gods. (This is the origin of humans as slave of God advocated in Islam. Virtually nothing in Islam is new. Everything is borrowed from previous faiths)

The depictions of Tiamat are often enshrouded in clouds. Clouds represent the state of disorder. This is the smoke Muhammad is talking about not the gaseous state in which the universe had been 13 billion years ago. Muhammad is rehashing the old fables of the pagans. There is nothing scientific in what this man said.

Muhammad was an unlettered and ignorant man. His knowledge was limited to the stories that he had heard that comprised the myths and legends of the Arabs and the anecdotes of the Bible. In composing his own book of fables, he liberally alluded to those stories and without being specific he mentioned them casually and in passing. The reason he does not go in to detail is because his knowledge of things is very superficial. I don't know much about nuclear physics but I can say, "can't you see how dangerous is the atomic bomb". This does not make me a nuclear scientist. It would be utterly stupid to try to find esoteric meanings in what this ignoramus man said.

Muhammad boastfully claimed that the Quran is a perspicuous book 5:15, explained in detail 6:114, conveyed clearly, 5:16, 10:15, easy to understand 44:58, 54:22, 54:32, 54:40 in which there is no doubt 2:1

Can we say the verses 41:9-12 are clear? Unless one has smoked hashish, there is no way to say this smoke Muhammad is talking about is the same hot gasses that were the primordial plasma of the universe. The only thing clear about the Quran is that it is a stupid book.

Among all these "scientific miracles" that Muslims trumpet that they have found in the Quran, which one qualifies to be called clear and easily understandable? Why Allah, if he is really the maker of this universe and knows everything, never said anything clear, except when he ordered people to raid and loot? Why he did not say in clear language, for example: "The Earth is round and it revolves around the Sun", "The Universe is 14 billion years old and it is born in a cosmic explosion", "Humans and all the

creatures are the product of evolution" or "Stars are suns, very much like your own sun but in faraway places." This is how clear statements should look, not the gobbledygook scribbled in the Quran. The Quran is full of stupidities: "Sky is a dome supported by invisible pillars", "stars are adornments hanging from the lower dome of the sky (as if they are Christmas lights) and the they are also used to shoot the jins who climb to heaven to listen to the conversation of the Exalted Assembly:, "The Moon is above the stars", "mountains are pegs to keep the earth from shaking", or other silly talks like these. These are not scientific.

There is a poem in Persian that says: "You are so much in my thoughts that anyone I see from afar, I think it's you". This is the problem with Muslims. They are so much obsessed with the Quran that they see miracles all over it. One example of that was the claim that the seven layers of atmosphere as determined by meteorologists was foretold in the Quran. This claim was originally made by Harun Yahya. After I wrote the article about the seven layers showing the inanity of this claim, he removed it from his site. However, there are still a couple of Islamic sites that carry that article.

Virtually all the so called "scientific miracles" of the Quran are bogus and have been refuted. There are no scientific miracles in that book of asininity. It's the wishful thinking of Muslims that makes them see science and miracles in the most moronic verses of the Quran.

All it takes to show that the Quran is not from God is to find one error in it. When hundreds of errors have been found in it, even if you find something "miraculous" (which you can't) it won't prove that the Quran is from God. If it was from God, it would have been error free and it is not.

The answer to the question "how could Muhammad know" is: "he did not know". Your premise that he said something scientific

is false. If I tell you I have magical powers otherwise how do you explain the fact that I can fly, I must first prove that I can fly. Until then my question is moot. No scientific miracles have been established in the Quran. All such claims are bogus and wishful thinking. Therefore this question is meaningless.

Part V

Much puffery but little content

2005/12/22

Sina Ali,

here is what you said, and you said a lot again, with no respect for my request of having one issue at the time, but before I list, let me ask you if you do not mind of course, if we debate issue by issue, and you are not happy or still have good reason to continue, let us not move to a new issue before the first is solved completely.

Mr. Al Assadi,

I only answered the points that you raised without introducing any new topics.

I will answer part of what came in this message, but if you do not mind, I hope you will bring the issues after where I stop answering the first part one by one next time, and do not leave an issue before it is fully debated, and you have nothing else to say about. Here is what you said first:

You said: "Mr. Al Assadi: If you want to debate with me, please get to the point. Your ad hominem and boastful talk can't be used as a substitute for arguments".

I say: you saw this is a good time for a joke, ok no problem, but that doesn't mean I need to laugh, right?

You have all the right to joke and laugh. But there is a different between humour and personal attacks.

You said: "I said Muslims do not believe in Jesus, the Bible and the god of the Christians. They have their own versions of these which are different from those believed by the Christians"

O let me add here, you said it is a figment of Muhammad own imagination, I showed you how it is from the stupid imagination of Christianity. I brought you how Ludicrous what they made up with their sick Imagination too.

And I said that is a beef you have to settle with Christians. All I care to show is that Muslims do not believe in the Jesus, God and the Bible of the Christians. They might as well use other terms because these terms are misleading. A reclining chair and an electric chair are both chairs but they are not the same. It would be more honest if Muslims said it from the start; we do not believe in the God you believe, in the Jesus that you believe and in the book that you believe. With all that you showed, it is clear that the verse 29:45 where Muhammad said: "and say, we believe in what has been sent down to us and sent down to you. Our God is the same as your God", is misleading. This point is clear. Let us move to the next.

You said: "They use the same words but mean different things. You called me a liar and then went on to confirm what I said. Whether your version is the true one or theirs is not my point. You discuss that with the Christians. My point is that when Muslims say we believe in the same god that the Christians believe, they lie. This you already confirmed. There is nothing to argue".

I say: I already said how you said this, and how I corrected where you need to be corrected, also affirmed the shocking little truth you spoke as well, left out nothing, and you know it too at this stage.

You said: "I speak to each in accordance to his capacity. I determined this language is more appropriate for you. To an academician I speak in an academic language, to a child I speak like a child. When I speak to a toddler, I become a toddler and to a joker become a joker. This is the way it should be. It would be foolish to speak to a toddler in a language that he would not understand"

I say: Why Sina Ali, you do not have one sure personality, you have to be like lizard, Green when green demands, and red in the eyes in hostility otherwise?

You confuse personality with methodology or strategy. Even a rocket scientist when talking to his toddler speaks in a language that the child can understand. This does not mean he has two different personalities but rather has common sense.

Let me add to what you need to know at this stage, I am a computer System Analyst, with minor in Math, not from the UAE, but from Jackson State University Alabama back in the year 1979, I think now this may give you an idea how to treat me. Yet I still know nothing about you, and you continue to be a nobody as long as you keeping this to yourself as national secret, but see; I do not care, you always start this. Yet I do not care, but when you do not ID yourself, yet asking me to I D, this is little absurd, Let us discuss what we came to discuss, leave who you are and who am I, this is not the issue. You said:

You said: "You wrote to me claiming you are going to prove my claims about Muhammad are wrong. But instead, the first question you asked was about my belief. Why should this be important? I accused Muhammad of lots of crimes. Your job is to defend him and acquit him of those charges, not to put me or my belief on trial. If I claim to be a prophet, then you have all the rights to question me. Otherwise why should my belief be important?"

I say: this is truly funny, you put me and what I believe on trial with insults, did not Jesus say: do unto others what you want them to do unto you, but I do not think your God Buggs Bunny stated this too. On the other hand you started by wanting to know what Masjid I preach at, what books I wrote, what Website I have, you questioned me as if I am your debate, yet you failed to answer one simple question from me, are you for real saying what you are saying today? You are afraid I make your belief a Mockery, but it is ok for you to make mine as what you are doing for a long time today, this for your short memory is ok? I ask you as you asked me: why should knowing me be so important, am I the topic of our debate, and still at this moment I say clearly, I do not care who you are, or anything about you, you do not interest me, I came to defy your lies about my belief. I need to defy all the nonsense you said about what I believe in by the Qur'an only. Yes, I still will prove all what you said about Muhammad PBUH are lies, but as I said: I will do so using the Noble Qur'an, not story books of any kind that I do not value, and I believe those books are made by Stupid Jews and stupid Christians to mislead Muslims during the last 1400 years.

You are repeating yourself too much. Please get to the point. We already discussed all this.

.____

You said: "That is the way it should be. Your job is to prove Muhammad was not a liar not to discuss about me. Keep me and my beliefs out of it. It is Muhammad we are talking about. Why I asked about your credential is to determine whether it is worth for me spending time responding to you. You know about me. You know or have heard about my site. But I know nothing about you and I have the right to ask".

I say: you did not leave my belief, to make me relieve yours Sina Ali, remember do unto others? You asked about me, no I do not know much about you, and when I told you about me, you still ask more, you said if I am worth it, you are less informed, and this did not trouble me, I do not even know if you are worth it, I am just standing up for the idiocy coming against Islam from any. All your knowledge about Islam are lies, and false, I said I will prove it, and I am doing just so, so let us stay focused if you do not mind,

First of all, we will get to that "do unto others" later. Muslims are the only people that do not believe in it. Secondly our discussion is about Islam. I have not invited anyone to believe in my religion so my belief is irrelevant. I do not care about people's religions, but Islam intends to take over the world and destroy whatever I cherish, like freedom, democracy, equality, art, science, etc. I am not fighting against Islam because it is false, but because it is threatening my world. If what I say about Islam are lies, you are here to show that. Other subjects are not of anyone's interest.

And as you insult my belief, this is a license for me to insult your belief,

You can insult whatever you want. But you are here to disprove my charges against Muhammad. So I advise you to stick to this point.

If you decided to discuss intelligently, and the civilized way, you cannot imagine how easy I can change too, try me. Leave insults, leave your rudeness, state your objections, and I will do better Insha Allah than a bulk of you.

Mr. Al Assadi; Nobody has insulted you. We are discussing Islam and Muhammad and I am accusing Muhammad of being a criminal and a psychopath. Your job is to prove these charges are false. In this case, I am the prosecutor, Muhammad is the accused and you are his defendant. Our readers are the jury. To say, "oh you are insulting my client" is not a valid argument. These are charges not insults. Also by attacking me you get nowhere. It is for your own interest that you act with civility or you would be shooting yourself in the foot. I am not offended by incivility. I publish all of them to show Muslims are barbarians. Did you see the Muslims' comments in my site? Do you want to go that way?

You said: "This is called censorship of thought. In the civilized world no person can decide what others can and cannot read. Your friend said you live in UAE and you can't access the site. Well, that explains why you accepted to debate. Most people who read this site try to stay away and do not dare to debate. Anyway, try a proxy server to access this site proxywave.com is a good one. With that you should be able to access the banned sites".

I say: O Wow, this is funny really, wonderful joke, I like it, keep up the good work, however I am sorry, I like the way we are doing this, less evil means better concentration for me. Leave a Rabbit in the wilderness, you will never catch, but bring it in closed area between you and me and your Buggs Bunny will fall on Dinner table most hopefully. I am sorry, I like to keep myself clean, I will not sink in the rotten swamp you created to hurt Muslims, I am debating away from that evil group full with

nothing by ignorance, so think about neutral ground, that site may have a lot of Jokers, who need more of those please save me.

Please practice avoiding boastfulness and get to the point.

You said: "You should settle your beef with the Christians. This is not a Christian site. In this site I am interested only to discuss about Muhammad and his crimes. There is no point in talking about Christianity. Show me what proof you have to the claim of Muhammad that he was a prophet or prove my charges against him are not true. I accused him of being a pedophile, a rapist, a thief, an assassin, a mass murderer, a liar, a pervert womanizer among other things. This is the subject you must discuss".

I say: I see how you are not rude, I see how you do not speak like a Satan Advocate against what I believe in, the funny thing you do not like me attacking your evil belief as well, how funny and Civilized of you. Sina, listen, since Stupid Christianity is not your belief, or the Joker you said is your God and who is so funny, why I sense you are lying, and every time I say Christianity is so stupid, you jump like a Bunny? I am sorry, but see as some evil cannot live without insulting Islam, I cannot live without insulting the stupid Christians, and their Christianity, and exposing the lies of the Judeo-Christians' book, or the so called The Word of Satan. I am sorry, you cannot stay without insulting Islam, and Muhammad, why you ask me to not insult Christianity, and since it is not your belief, why I feel you are troubled, when I feel you are troubled about the stupid Christianity, this makes me want to use it to trouble you as you trouble me about Muhammad, Dig it Sina Ali.

You can insult anything you like until the cows come home. But you came here claiming to be a scholar wanting to defend Islam. Insulting Christianity has nothing to do with that. Please get to the point and refute my charges against Muhammad, if you can.

You said: "Okay. I take you for your word that you are a scholar".

I say: Sina, shape up, stop asking who I am, and keep on the Debate going, believe me I need a Bucket full with your kind to handle me, and what I know, and what is my knowledge about religions, I do not even know what country you are from, you know where I live, I do not know what God you worship you know about me, I do not know what prophet you like, you insult mine so rudely and ignorantly all the time and I feel how you enjoy this ignorance every time intentionally, I know how you even smile with the evil in your heart, you know about me more than what you deserve for the lack of my knowledge about you. You know my education, and I do not know where you got your illiteracy. Will you stop asking who am I, stay with what we are here for, I am not going to give you what you think will help you to attack me more, you are attacking more than a Jungle full with Poisonous Snakes, I know what else you seek, and I will not give you, so relax Sina, start debating, I need to show you and the Jokers who claps their hands with stupidity for your lack of knowledge, and show idiot kind of happy.

We Persians have a saying: "Perfume must smell on its own, not what the salesman says" (In Persian it sounds better). It means the same as "the proof of the pudding is in eating it". I said I take you for your word that you are a scholar, but it is up to you to show that you are indeed a scholar. You are talking

about everything else except what you came here to do and that is to prove my charges against Muhammad are false or prove that he was indeed a messenger of God.

You said: "Skies like Smoke: Did Muhammad speak of the Big Bang?"

I say: I did not ask you this question; I asked you how did he know the Heavens were smoke like, that is all.

You are repeating the same thing. This is yet another logical fallacy called *argumentum ad nauseam*. Muslims believe that by saying the same thing over and over eventually people give in and they win. This is not true. People may get tired hearing you and give up debating with you but this fallacy does not make your argument sound true and it is the worst thing to do in a written debate.

I already answered this question. Muhammad did not know. The question is moot because he did not know. I explained that in detail. This point does not need any more elucidation. I do not expect you to accept it. The argument is clear and I am only interested that the readers see it.

Now, I went down further, and I regret it, you are using same old same old, nothing changed, how can we change you? You keep repeating yourself like a broken CD, over and over and over, give it a break Sina Ali, I said, and I am serious: I will not debate ten thousands Items all at the same time. I just asked you how Muhammad Knew the Heaven was Smoke like and the Earth, you spaced out without taking any passenger to Jupiter.

You asked me once about the argument of smoke and I explained. You complained why I did not answer you and I explained the same thing in more detail. What repetition?

Ok, I see, you are trying to say how the claim that the Heavens were Smoke like is none Scientific, fine, you are ignoring what Science said in this regard, you also can say there is no Sun in the Heaven while there are millions, no problem. Now, just answering this much, I wrote many pages, imagine if I went answering everything else you mentioned, this is not going to happen.

I showed that the story of the creation as explained in the Quran is not scientific. You call that denial of science? Can you tell us which part of this story stated in 41:9-12 is scientific?

I will ask you to bring your next issue, just one at the time, I am not going to ask anything else of you now, waiting for you to start, just one issue, not one thousand, do not put Zeroes to the word, they will continue to be Zeroes until you bring them one at the time, I do not jump like a Rabbit when I explain, I need to make my point clear not only for you, but also the Jokers who believe everything you say, and repeat it like birds with power of speaking what they do not understand, say to the bird Good Morning, it will say like those without knowing the difference between a good and a bad morning, say Christianity is Evil and stupid, the bird will say: Christianity Sucks, do not blame me, I do not know who taught that bird this word.

If you prefer me to show the fallacy of Islam, that is fine with me. Format is not important. There are many arguments that I could present. I will start with the embryology in the Quran and instead of refuting it myself. I will quote two medical doctors. One is an Egyptian Ex-Muslim. Here is his article.

http://www.faithfreedom.org/Articles/AE/embryo.htm

And the other is Dr. William Campbell, who exhaustively has shown the concept of the development of embryo in the Quran is wrong. http://www.answering-

islam.org/Quran/Science/alaqa.html

There has been a lot of discussion on this and many Muslims have tried to explain the unexplainable but none of those arguments are convincing.

The following is a bibliography of the discussion on embryology in the Quran. You don't have to read all of them but it is a good idea that you glance at them so we avoid repetitions. If you can add something that other Muslim apologists have not said already, I would like to hear. But since you can't and everything is already said and refuted, this is another proof that the Quran is false and not from God.

Part VI

[Nine days after I asked the question about the embryology, Mr. Al Assadi wrote to his friend who acts as the intermediary:]

2005/12/31

Al Salaam aleikum, he sent me tons of questions, do you remember where is this question about Embrioes, and what is his augment, if not, please tell him to send what question he has from Qur'an, I do not understand, do I have to go through his tons of info to dig what he questioned? Please ask him to state his question, to say: what about Embrioes, I would ask: ok, what about them?

Mr. Al Assadi:

We started this debate by you trying to prove my charges against Muhammad are wrong.

We touched several points and I kept showing that the Quran is wrong on many points. You introduced the verse 41:11 saying how could Muhammad have known the primary plasma of the universe was smoke like. I explained this he did not know and that is not what he intended when he wrote the word smoke in that verse. We scrutinized the verses 41:9 to 41:12 and saw everything Muhammad had said in those verses were bogus and unscientific. Then I explained the origin of those verses is ancient myths of the Mesopotamians. There Muhammad is rehashing the fairytales of the ancient people and is not saying anything scientific.

In the process of this debate we discovered several errors in the Quran. For example the verse 2:2 that says "that book" guides the righteous ones, (those who guard against evil) contain three errors:

Allah made a mistake to call his book "that book". He should have said "this book" unless we assume that this verse is not part of the Quran.

When it says there is no doubt in it, it is making a false statement because many people doubted it when it was written and many still do.

When it says it guides those who are righteous also shows it is a redundant book. However, you may get away with this by interpreting the *mottageen* as "those who are fearful"

Then we spoke of Allah's illogical behavior of sealing the hearts of the people, misleading them and then punishing them. We saw that the Quran even claims that if Allah wanted to guide people, it would be easy for him but he does not do it on purpose. These verses show Allah is not God but Satan. The job of God is guiding people and saving them and the job of Satan is to mislead them, trick them and make them err.

We also agreed that the Jesus, the Bible and the God that is worshiped by the Christians are not the same things Muslims say they believe. Although Muslims use the same names they mean entirely different things. At least this is one point we both agree.

Finally you asked me to point out the errors of the Quran so you can show there are no errors. The Quran contains many errors. I started with one of them and that is the development of the embryo. Instead of rehashing what others have said, I asked you to read the articles of AE, an Arab ex-Muslim doctor and also of Dr. Campbell. They show clearly that the development of the embryo as described in the Quran is wrong. I gave you several links to read and familiarize yourself with the discussion on this subject. This was for your own benefit. You don't have to read them. But if you don't you'll repeat the same mistakes that other Muslim apologists have committed and upon being proven wrong, you'll be discredited further.

You want me to simplify the question. The question is very simple. The description of the development of the embryo in the Quran is wrong. This is the statement of a fact. The question is if the Quran is from God how it can be so wrong on this point?

My advice for you is that don't even try to answer because you can't. Let us move to another error of the Quran. Let us talk this time about the mathematical errors of the Quran.

You are a computer scientist. So you are familiar with numbers. Here we are talking about the basic fractions that any school kid should be able to add up. There are elemental mathe-matical errors in the Quran.

Please add anything you want to Mr. Sami Zaatri's rebuttal and I will respond to of both you. Just note that I had already responded to Mr. Zaatri's argument.

In the Quran there is no priority for the distribution of the inheritance. In nowhere it says "first give to these and from what is left, give to those".

This is the argument of Mr. Zaatri and it is wrong.

Sina Ali, I cannot say I am happy to see you again, but I can ask: why you disappeared as soon as I said let us get serious, many came to my mail Address knocking and asking why I fled, I explained how I said let us start, had no answer from you.

Mr. Al Assadi. I don't know you and have no idea about your state of mind. But it seems to me you are a confused person. After round V you sent a message full of nonsense and told me not to publish it that you are going to answer my question soon. Then I did not hear from you. Your friend then asked whether I have given up. I told him that I am still waiting for your response. Then you responded what I published in round VI.

Anyway, here I am you had a good question, put almost in a right way, what about Embryos, I said please put it in a real questions, meaning: tell me what is that you do not like about what Allah said, and instead you send me another news paper to read. I would like to ask you again, I wished if you just asked about the Embryo, nothing else.

What part of the question you do not understand? I gave you two articles, written by two medical doctors, one and Arab ex-Muslim and another by a Christian, showing the description of the development of the embryo in the Quran is wrong. What part of this is difficult to understand?

Anyway, this time I will answer all what came here, but this is going to be in many responses, I will not move to the next part before you are finished with the first, and if you can; do not start a

new issue before we finish this message first, you see it as one issue, and you are wrong, and you will see when I discus how it is many issues, anyway let us start. Here is what you said, when I said only Embryo.

This is what you said:

"Mr. Al Assadi,

We started this debate by you trying to prove my charges against Muhammad are wrong. We touched several points and I kept showing that the Quran is wrong on many points. You introduced the verse 41:11 saying how could Muhammad have known the primary plasma of the universe was smoke like. I explained this he did not know and that is not what he intended when he wrote the word smoke in that verse. We scrutinized the verses 41:9 to 41:12 and saw everything Muhammad had said in those verses were bogus and unscientific. Then I explained the origin of those verses is ancient myths of the Mesopotamians. There Muhammad is rehashing the fairytales of the ancient people and is not saying anything scientific".

I say: no, you did not show except what you think, does not mean Qur'an is wrong as you stated, and this you have to prove, or I can defeat your wrong understanding. Very well, I did introduce verse 41:11 asking how Muhammad PBUH knew it started form Smoke, nice story you brought about the Mesopotamians, where you find this stuff at, you are really something. Ok, show me please where in the Qur'an those who taught Muhammad are found from the Mesopotamians, if you do not mind. Now let me show you how you are wrong about the same. If Muhammad PBUH learned this as you said, he would say it one time, let us see how the word smoke came, or in what manner this showed up.

Ayah (Verse) 41:11 says: then Allah went to the Heaven while it was still smoke like. I do see how the way this verse is stated is

with such assurance from someone who knows what he is talking about, not guessing, or hesitates, and being supported by today's scientific discoveries that you wish not to accept. Qur'an says Smoke, you say a Myth, and you expect us just to say: Good Job Sina Ali, we are convinced because you said it, who cares about Allah, I am sorry this will never happen.

This point is clear to all thinking people. My intention is not to convince you but to show that Islam is false.

Allah also said in Ayah 21:104: As Allah started the first creation He returns it to how it was before. Science also says the same, and this we need to ask you how Muhammad PBUH knew this too? Many are the Ayahs that says how Allah will return everything to how it was from the very start.

These verse 21.104 reads: "The Day that We roll up the heavens like a scroll rolled up for books (completed),- even as We produced the first creation, so shall We produce a new one"

There is nothing scientific in this nonsense. Heavens are not like a sheet of paper of scrolls to be rolled up. It is clear that Muhammad thought heaven is a dome like a tent that is pitched over the earth, where the stars are hanging as adornments. What part of this waffle is scientific? Muhammad did not know anything. He was a stupid Arab of the seventh century and his knowledge was not even at par to those learned people of his time or before him. He talks like an idiot.

Now, He said in 41:11 how it was smoke, here he says and in many other places how he will return everything to how it was in the very beginning, meaning smoke state. Allah also said in Ayah 44:10: and keep in waiting until the heaven brings forth clear Smoke. As we said: He started with Smoke, said He will return as it was, then here is saying: keep waiting until the Heaven brings forth the clear Smoke again, which means it is the promise he made that day all of us will return to face our evil against him, including you Sina and all those who attack Him. Ok, looks to me how Muhammad PBUH, or Allah meant it when the Qur'an stated it how Heaven was Smoke like, and you are wrong, you can see now the whole picture so clear, He meant what He said, Smoke it was, and Smoke it will be next.

You are just talking gibberish. But keep talking like that as it shows the intellectual bankruptcy of Muslim clerisy. The reason I asked you to tell us who you are is to show to the world that these people with whom I debate are not the ignorant Muslims but their intellectuals.

I don't believe your Mythologies from Mesopotamians say the same,

Why should I care?

again as we see here, the Noble Qur'an emphasizes how the heavens were smoke, and to smoke they will return, no gap or ifs or buts, solid forward talk, and not estimating.

And this solid forward talk is nothing but the drivel of a mad man. There is nothing scientific of logical in it.

Now as that was coincidence from old fiction, which is not likely in the same manner that came in Qur'an, then we would not deny Qur'an but would tell you how Allah told us in the book how He sent the same Revelation to mankind through the times since Adam PBUH, then if any old Civilization remembers any of this, this supports what Allah says.

Sir: Why you don't you want to open your ears and listen? This story is wrong and unscientific. The origin of that is ancient mythology made up by ancient people who had no understanding of how the universe is made. Muhammad rehashed these same tales and folklore. They are wrong.

On the other hand, you need to stick to things as they are found, I wished if instead you would say today's Science and Qur'an were wrong about heaven being originally Smoke, and perhaps you with your creative mind can give us that which is different and supported with solid proofs instead of accusing solid knowledge of being the fiction of Old times.

I don't have to tell you anything. The theory of how the universe has come to be is thought in high schools. What the Quran says is not solid knowledge. It is balderdash and BS.

Now, I would like to ask: Since I am discussing with you only Qur'an, not story books, I would not consider your story as true, I do see how Allah said how Muhammad PBUH was an Illiterate, not educated at all, and then he would not know what you know about the history of those who lived between the two Babylonian Rivers of Old days. If Muhammad PBUH was not illiterate, then all those who read how Allah gave him this title would never believe in his message, and become two billions today. He was Illiterate, yet you accuse him in other stories of learning from a Christian priest, where this is found in Judaism, and Christianity in this clarity or remotely mentioned? Have you ever saw the Judeo Christian book, ignorantly called by the Bible, do you see anything like this, I do not think you

would. Now you say Muhammad PBUH was such a professional about history of the nations between the two rivers, I would like you to come today to the middle of the Arabian desert, take an illiterate man who never read anything and ask him to tell you things or stories about Old Babylon, you think they can, how about Muhammad PBUH who was here 1425 years back, knowing all things from the middle of the Desert, are you trying to make him a God? We thank you so much but can do without, it is enough others who are so ignorant made men for Gods to worship, we can accept Muhammad PBUH to be very human.

Muhammad did not have to be a historian to know the little things that he knew. Muhammad's knowledge of everything was extremely superficial. In those days instead of radio or television, people listened to storytellers who told them stories of the past, the kings, the prophets and the old legends. What Muhammad knew superficially was common knowledge. Everyone had heard these storied a hundred times. Today I can go in the middle of the desert and grab a Bedouin who can't read and he know about Moses, Jesus, Ali Baba and the frothy thieves, that people have landed on moon that Chinese speak Chinese and many other things. Muhammad's knowledge was nothing exceptional and often he was wrong. For example he thought Marry the mother of Christ is the same Miriam the sister of Aaron and Moses because in Arabic both these names are pronounced Maryam. So the fool heard the sister of Aaron is Maryam and the mother of Jesus is also Maryam and he thought these two are the same person. This is an error that an illiterate man would do. If he could read the Bible he would not have made this gross mistake. There are hundreds of other mistakes in the Quran that show Muhammad was indeed an illiterate person and his knowledge was second hand and hearsay.

Next, you said: "In the process of this debate we discovered several errors in the Quran. For example the verse 2:2 that says "that book" guides the righteous ones, (those who guard against evil) contain three errors: Allah made a mistake to call his book "that book". He should have said "this book" unless we assume that this verse is not part of the Quran".

I said: anyone with little reasoning would accept how if Allah is touching the book, he should say: This, but even if He is everywhere, and closer to us than the Veins of our bodies, He can use that, which is more reasonable and more powerful than using this is the book, because if He said this, someone like you would say if I and Allah are holding to the book at the same time, then He says this, I will ask: why I do not see Him? Allah says that, meaning I am holding it alone, He is not holding to it with me at the same time, but speaking from His distance. Now how He is at a distance yet so close, when you speak with your Mobile with someone across the world, you are as close as being Heard, yet far away as if on a different planet. Closeness as we know is not what is meant by Him, even the Judeo Christian book says: touching the LORD we cannot. Means we do not know much about Him, or how He does things, we are limited with our thinking. We do not know how so close He is to us, Christians say His spirit is in our bodies, how? We tell you we do not know how He is so close, but He is, and He can. Then just stay with this and that in this topic, He says "that" to what I hold to and doesn't say "this" if you wish to understand or reject the simple thing makes no difference.

If God is everywhere then for more reason he should use this and not that when talking about his own book. No matter how you see this verse it is wrong.

You said: "When it says there is no doubt in it, it is making a false statement because many people doubted it when it was written and many still do".

I say: He did not say: no doubt about it, He said: no doubt about it for those who have fear, then Allah is not speaking about those who have doubt from all over the world.

We are not interested to hear what YOU say. The Quran does not say what you say. This is a clear lie and bogus boastfulness. Furthermore, isn't it stupid to say those who believe in me don't doubt it? Those who believe in the Bible don't doubt it either. Those who believe in any book don't doubt that book. The statement is a stupid redundancy.

Sina, you do need to read as it is, not as you wish to read and twist it.

It is you who are reading this book through the eyes of a believer and can't see the obvious errors in it.

See, how many billions know the LORD exists, and how many are those who are in doubt and say He does not, and He is a negative? Do those who believe there is a LORD will change thinking He exists, because those others are in doubt about it?

This is a *red herring* fallacy. We are talking about the Quran and the errors of that book are obvious and can be proven.

There is no doubt about Qur'an for those who have fear from the LORD, but for those who doubt it Allah says: The ignorant Evil try to show greatness over their LORD. Imagine you are standing against an Army of tough men, and you say: I doubt they would take me to pieces, would your doubts make a true statement, or your doubts would show only ignorance and under estimating? Yes, Qur'an there is no doubt about it, even when we Muslims have so much doubt about the Christians New Testament, Christians would tell us how their book has no doubt it. Allah says: let whosoever chooses to be a believer, and whosoever chooses to be otherwise, the day will come when we will face Him.

Fighting an army of tough men where your death is certain is foolish, but to bring that example here is a non sequitur fallacy. An army standing in front of me is a fact. There is no need to prove the existence of such an army. You want me to believe in your fairytale as if it is true and fear it like I would fear a real army without providing any evidence that such thing exist. This is foolishness. You haven't yet give us a single proof that Muhammad was a prophet of God and his Allah is the real God and you want us to comply already. This is like I tell you I am God and if you don't believe in me I will slice you like ham and demand obedience. Is that enough proof for you to believe in me and do what I tell you? Wouldn't you first demand that I give you the proof of my claim before submitting to my command? So far you said nothing but logical fallacies.

Now we Muslims ask the question: are those who are in doubt about Qur'an in good state, do they doubt it out of knowledge, or out of ignorance, now this is left to be debated.

The fallacy of the Quran is proven. I reject the Quran with knowledge. You believe in that book of hodgepodge out of ignorance and faith. Of course those who reject the Quran are in better state than those who believe in it. Muslims are the poorest and the most backward people of the world and the only reason for that is that they follow the Quran.

You said: "When it says it guides those who are righteous also shows it is a redundant book. However, you may get away with this by interpreting the mottageen as 'those who are fearful'"

I say: I do not need to get away with anything; I am just correcting your lack of knowledge about the meaning of the word Muttaqein, and not to allow you to get away with it.

You said: "Then we spoke of Allah's illogical behavior of sealing the hearts of the people, misleading them and then punishing them. We saw that the Quran even claims that if Allah wanted to guide people, it would be easy for him but he does not do it on purpose. These verses show Allah is not God but Satan. The job of God is guiding people and saving them and the job of Satan is to mislead them, trick them and make them err".

I say: This and the rest of your debate will be answered next time with the wish of the LORD, you should have brought one topic at the time, by the end of my answers even you will realize you brought so many. Not answering may lead you to the wrong assumption I acknowledge what you say, and I don't wish you to get the wrong impressions. Now, before I continue answering this message, let me wait to see if you still have anything else to say about the same here, would you do us a favor by not moving to another topic until we are done with this? Now, if you do not have anything else to say here, just ask me to continue answering what you sent in this message, before you send another message if you don't mind, let us finish one topic at the time, I hope one day you will.

That is what we agreed in the first session. But you failed to prove anything and asked me, "How Muhammad knew about the smoke". That is now clarified. You then asked me to show an error from the Quran. I asked you about the embryo. Muhammad goofed here. You seem not to understand even the question. Then I asked about the mathematical errors of the Quran. So far you have failed to convince us that the Quran is from God, while I have shown it is not and it contains many errors.